

SVM

2020年10月12日 13:33

$$\{(x_i, y_i), y_i \in \{-1, 1\}\}$$

Hard Margin (Perfectly linear Separable).

- Margin: distance from the separator to the closest point

$$\text{Margin length } \frac{1}{\|w\|_2}$$

Goal: $y_i(w^T x_i - b) \geq 1$ (at the same time minimize $\|w\|_2$)

If data not perfectly linearly Separable \Rightarrow Allow mistakes



naive answer.

$$\Rightarrow \text{minimize } \|w\|_2 + \lambda \sum \xi_i.$$

$$\text{s.t. } \forall i, y_i(w^T x_i - b) \geq 1 - \xi_i$$

$$\xi_i \geq 0$$

(slack variable)

$$\text{minimize } \|w\|_2 + \lambda \cdot \# \text{ mistakes}$$

but indicator function is hard to optimize. (NP-hard)

$$\text{Lo} \xrightarrow{\text{relax}} L_1$$

Hinge loss: $\max\{0, 1 - ty\}$

($t = w^T x_i - b$ is the output).

Solve:

Primal — Dual

$$\min_w \|w\|_2 + \lambda \cdot \sum \xi_i$$

$$\text{s.t. } \forall i, y_i(w^T x_i) \geq 1 - \xi_i$$

$$\xi_i \geq 0$$

$$\max_a \sum_i a_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j y_i y_j a_i a_j \langle x_i, x_j \rangle$$

$$\text{s.t. } \forall i, 0 \leq a_i \leq \frac{1}{2\lambda}$$

$$\sum_i y_i a_i = 0$$

$$w = \sum_i a_i x_i y_i$$

Kernel Method

Input Space (not separable)

$$x \longrightarrow \phi(x)$$

feature space (usually much higher dim.)

separable.

With SVM:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_w \|w\|_2 + \lambda \cdot \sum \xi_i \\ \text{s.t. } \forall i \quad y_i(w^t \phi(x_i)) \geq 1 - \xi_i \Rightarrow \end{aligned}$$
$$\max_a \sum_i a_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sum_j y_i y_j a_i a_j \leq \phi(x_i) \cdot \phi(x_j)$$
$$\text{s.t. } \forall i \quad 0 \leq a_i \leq \frac{1}{2\lambda}$$
$$\xi_i \geq 0$$
$$\sum_i y_i a_i = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow w = \sum_i a_i y_i \phi(x_i)$$

$\phi(x_i)$ hard to compute

but $\langle \phi(x_i), \phi(x_j) \rangle = K(x_i, x_j)$ may be computed easily

↪ kernel trick.

predict:

$$w^t \phi(x) = \sum_i a_i y_i \langle \phi(x_i), \phi(x) \rangle$$

\Rightarrow no need for computing $\phi(x)$

Mercer's Theorem

$$K := \begin{bmatrix} K(x_1, x_1) & K(x_1, x_2) & \dots \\ K(x_2, x_1) & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & & \end{bmatrix}$$

if K semi-definite for any $\{x_i\}$, then $\exists \phi$ such that K is a kernel for ϕ .

(We do not even need ϕ in computation!).